G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

L.R. 16-96.

1897

(L.R.)

File No. 10 of 97

Serial Nos. 1–5

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1–5

Major ~~Part~~ B for April 99

SUBJECT.

Grant of loans to Encumbered Zamindars with certain Conditions.

W D 68—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

Indexed L.R.

Office Note. (13)

Subject.

Loan applied for by Nanjundiah and Ranganna, Jodidars of the Jodi Inam village of Kenchanahalli, Chickmagalur Taluk.

— o —

Last Reference.

Letter No. 245 dy 4th Novr 1898 from the Dy Commissioner, Kadur

— o —

1. On the 22nd May 1897, Nanjundiah and Ranganna, Jodidars of Kenchanahalli, presented a petition* to the Inspector of Agricultural Banks, requesting the grant of a loan of Rs 1500/- in a lumpsum and of a monthly loan of Rs 200/- on the security of their Jodi village, the purpose of the loan applied for being to free the said Jodi village from existing encumbrances which amounted to about Rs 1200/- and to improve the same. The Jodidars ~~further~~ represented in their petition that their Jodi village, which is A. G. 683-16 in extent assessed at Rs 724±-4-0, was divided among 14 persons & that in order to purchase the rights of other shareholders and become the sole

* Enclosed in letter No. 205 dy 3.9.98 from the Dy Commr.

A G
123-0 Dry
123-16 Wet-
1-0 Garden
436-0 Jungle (waste land)

± But the Survey Assessment is stated by the Dy Commr to be Rs 660-8-0

proprietors of the village and also to improve the dry, wet, garden and coffee lands therein, they had spent about Rs 5000, from their own pocket and also borrowed Rs 10,000/ at exorbitant rates of interest — a portion of the loan at 15 p.c. interest and the rest at a paddy interest of 480 seers per cent. They further stated that out of the 436 acres of jungle in the village, an extent of 100 acres was fit for coffee cultivation, that they had already planted 20,000 plants in 25 acres of the said land and that by improving the tank in the village at a cost of Rs 1000/- 30 acres of land would be brought under wet cultivation in addition to the existing wet land viz $\overset{A}{123}-\overset{G}{16}$. They estimated their village ~~to sale~~ at Rs 50,000/- and stated that there was an offer of Rs 30,000/- for the same. On the Security

of

of this village as well as on their personal security they requested that a loan of Rs 1500/- and a monthly loan of Rs 200/- might be granted to them in order to enable them to pay off the debt of Rs 10,000/- together with its interest amounting to about Rs 2000/- and to carry on improvements. The loan they added would be repaid in 10 years.

2. The Inspector of Agricultural Banks referred the petitioners to G.O. No. 8926-34 / Jl 777 dt 22. 4. 97 ~ directing that * petitions of the sort in question should be disposed of by the Amildar × the Dy Commr.

3. Thereupon the Jodidars × applied to the Dy Commr repeating their request and produced a statement showing their debts amounting to Rs 10860/- as noted on the margin. The Dy Commr submitted the petitions of the Jodidars for

The

× Endorsement No 239 dt 22. 5. 97 on the petition enclosed in D. C's letter No. 205 dt 3. 9. 98.

Petition dt 25. 8. 97 enclosed in the above letter.

Rs 4000/- Borrowed from Codoovalli Manjiah.
20/- The interest thereon remaining unpaid.
Rs 6000/- Borrowed from Kesavienger of Belur.
840/- The interest thereon remaining unpaid.
Rs 10860

orders of Govt under the authority conveyed in Pro: No. 5549-37 Fl 472 of 17. 12. 96 directing the revival of the system of granting loans to encumbered Inamdars and stated that the case did not come under the Land Improvement Rules, as the object of the loan was only to a very slight extent the improvement of lands; and recommended that as a special case the loan might be granted in view especially of the fact that the Inam was of a class granted generally for meritorious services. He further added that, pending Govt orders, the Chickmagalur Taluk Amildar would be directed to hold a local enquiry as to the sufficiency of the security offered.

Letter No. 205 of 3. 9. 98.

4. In this office docket No. 33 S Fl 298 of 2. 10. 97, the Dy Comr was requested to report the result of the enquiry above

referred to and also whether the Jodi village in question was granted in connection with any valuable services rendered to the State. In reply the Dy Commr reported that the Inam Title deed did not show in what connection the Inam was originally granted or the object of the grant beyond the fact of its being described as Personal Inam and stated that the village was held by 14 Vrittidars as a personal enfranchised Inam, that Markandiah (father of Rangamma) and Nanjundiah, two of the 14 Vrittidars, purchased the other 12 shares from time to time as occasion arose, that the market value of the village was estimated at Rs 20000/- and that the village comprised 123 acres of dry, 123-A 16-G of wet, 1 acre of garden and 436 acres of waste land bearing a total Survey assessment of Rs ~~237~~ 660-8-0 and paying to Government

× Letter No. 148 of 12. 9. 98.

Rs 337-13-9 as Jodi, Local Cess Dea. The Dy Commr further added that the village was mortgaged for Rs 6000/ to one Kesaviengar of Belur and for Rs 3000/- to Kuduvalli manjappayya, the interest on these amounting to nearly Rs 3000/- so that the village might be said to have been encumbered to the extent of about of Rs 12,000/ He recommended the grant of a loan of Rs 12000/- at 5 p.c. which he said was a sufficient concession, though the Amildar who based his ~~opinion~~ report on local enquiry was of opinion that a loan of Rs 13000/- might be granted.

5. Thereupon it was stated in this office No. 2919 / Fl 296 of 11.10.98, that ~~the Jodi village~~ it was presumed that the Jodi village in question was surveyed and settled, that deducting the Jodi dea of Rs 337-13-9 from the Survey

assessment of Rs 660-8-0, the balance of net Beriz was only Rs 322-10-3 and that the maximum loan admissible in such cases was only 10 or 12 times the net Beriz. The Dy Commr was therefore requested to revise his proposal accordingly and submit a further report for the orders of Govt.

6. In reply the Dy Commr × stated that in the case of several loans granted hitherto by Govt such as Agricultural Banks and Land Improvement Loans, the market value and not the annual rental or assessment, has been invariably considered as the basis for advances, that in a similar case Rs 30,000/- were advanced to certain encumbered Jahagir Inamdars in the Mysore District, as per G. O. No. 317 × 21 of 6.6.93, the basis of calculation being the previous 12 years'

× Letter No 245 of 4. 11. 98.

× Serial No 9 of the open file. This refers to the loan granted to Sanna Rao and other members of the family of Tippaiya, Kille Cutcherry Bakshee.

annual income from the Inams and not the Inam deriz, that the case of the Kuchenhally Jovidars in question is one for Govt help to save the encumbered Jodi Inam village from passing into the hands of the creditors, under the special concession held out in G.O. No. 5549-57 R / Jl 472 17.12.96 and does not come under the Land Improvement Loans Regulation and that any sum below Rs 12000/- would but partially relieve the Inamdars from their present liabilities and the property would still be in the hands of their creditors. The Dy Commr further states that the Jodi village in question will by itself be a sufficient security for the loan applied for inasmuch as the 2 creditors have already invested Rs 10000/- exclusive of interest accruing date of payment — which shows that the property is worth

Spare Copy
Min proceedings
Order portion
N

much more, as speculators or mortgagers generally do not risk more than half the sum a property is really worth. The Dy Commr repeats his recommendation that a loan of Rs 12000/- may be sanctioned under the circumstances and requests early orders of Govt in the matter, as the Inamdars represent that their creditors are dunning them for payment of the loan, apparently with a view to have the Jodi Inam village sold to them for an unduly low price.

Petition enclosed in letter No. 245 of 4th Nov 1898.

HM.

Submitted to Secretary for orders

21 26/12/98

1) There is nothing to show that the Inam question was one given for distinguished services.

2) Assuming that the petitioners applicants can make given the benefit of the scheme for the relief of Encumbered Inamdars the interest that comes

the land & there is 12 times the net Rent of Rs 322-10-3 - This cannot be made the subject & there as the Village has encumbered to the extent of Rs 12000 - The loan applied for may therefore be refused.

Khaled Hussain
18/2/99.

Report -
The Scheme is
not intended
for the class of
Inamdars to
which Peta
belongs -

18-4-99

21-4-99. H.D. Reed
Draft submitted 24/24/99.

There was a
Petn on the subj
read & Dismissed

(14)

The object of the
Scheme is to relieve
~~the Land~~
not all Inamdars,
but the holders of
such Inams as have
been granted by the
State ~~in the~~ as
Special rewards —
The No of such Inams
is very limited &
the ground of Inams
there is matter
to be dealt with
in general ~~by~~ by
the Revenue —

18.4.99

Sd/- W. Wedderburn
22/4/99

Sd/- R 22/4/99

21/4/99

Sd/- W. Wedderburn
22/4/99

new returned specially
on the above
framed under article
will be cancelled; but it is
not therefore the case
arbitrary - equitable
appeal
grounds

Letter received from Sir W. Wedderburn
dated 22.4.99.

(157)

Such applications are becoming frequent. The scheme was originally instituted for the relief of a select class of Guardians. Other Guardians (Hunyadi-Gyulafalva excluded) are asking to be helped by Govt.

Kindly advise me for orders as to whether all such applications may be entertained, enquired into & decided on the merits. G.

16/4/98

Draft dated 23.24/4/98

Draft approved
[illegible]
21-4-98

[illegible]

Since
in that the Guardians
in the Grant [illegible]
[illegible]
Schools Guardians [illegible]

No. 1310

Name of Petitioner Thimmanna Sastri
Son of Venkatramana Sastri

Village Jaghirdar of Sarvamanya Rangasamudra

Taluk Davangere

District Tumkur

Date of Arzi 8-8-97

Date of Receipt 19-11-97

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure nil

Presented by H. Highness the Sarvamanya Jaghirdar

ABSTRACT

Submits that the village of Rangasamudra in the Davangere Taluk was granted to his grandfather Raghunathasastri as Sarvamanya in the year 1746 by His Highness late Krishnamaraja Wadeyar Bahadur that his father contracted debts on the security of the said Jaghir and the decree amount of the creditors amounts to Rs. 45883-8-5 that the Jaghir is to be sold for liquidation of the decree on the 16th of September 1897 that the petitioner is unable to clear the debts and release the

1) The Sale must take place on 16/9/97 this time
Sale Must Take Place
Letters Time

2) Govt Have no power to stop a Civil Court Sale) though decrees are in certain cases executed by the Dy Comr

Submitted to Secretary

My 25/11/97

26 Nov. 1-12-97

What are these rules? Where are they? I called for them before. Must see them. I would like to see them

10/12/97

Jagir

Where [redacted] Jagir
may — under Govt
management and the debts
cleared and the estate managed
by Govt the income of
debt advanced is cleared out
of the income of the estate
and handed over to the
person after that period, or
the petn Jagirdar in person
of the Jagir immediately after
clearing the debts from the
Govt demand, and the
income of the estate appropriate deducted
every year towards the repayment
of the debt —

and for orders
in the meanwhile to stop the
sale of his lands fixed for the
6th September 1897.

23/6/97

Affidavit

1310 R

Affidavit of Thooku Thevi affixed 19-11-47

2

3

4

5

6

4

8

9

10

Rejd
29/8/98

136 of 98 Asst to Pursuk

Sent
31/8/98

Subd

1/2 Rs stamp affixed — Stamp Scffh

2/ The Depty Nazir's order dy 12.5.98 is pronounced

Sent
7/8/98

The application seems to be under the "Encumbered Inamdars' Relief Scheme" based on the Dewan's memo of 7th June 1889

This memo has not been printed but is alluded to in an order of 1893 granting a loan to an Inamdar

Transf 2 to Rev Branch
dy 6/8/95

Put into the Memo a Copy 9th
dy 8/8/95

Subd

Please see Serial No 1/2 of Sec A for 27 20 1893 for Copy.

Submitted to Secretary for orders
11/8/98. 1/12/1898

(2)

S
K 8

No. 248

Name of Petitioner V.C. Vijayaranga Pillay
V.C. Arumuga Pillay

Village No. 48 Kasavanahalli

Taluk Cantonment

District Bangalore

Date of Arzi 26-7-98

Date of Receipt 27-7-98

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure 2

ABSTRACT

With reference to Endorsement No. 136 of 21st instant, resubmit their petition praying for a loan of Rs. 10000 on the mortgage of their Kayamsuddi village of Bevrayapura affixing the required Stamp

dy
30/7/98

Enc. Petn No. 136 with Enclosures
do accepted by Govt with do

248



To

Two Rupees stamp affixed

MYSORE ... DEPARTMENT
VOLUME 248 R
DATE 27-7-98

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Bangalore

By first Registered
27 July 98

Respected Sir

In obedience to orders in the above endorsement we most respectfully beg to affix the required stamps and resubmit the same for your favorable & benevolent consideration.

We beg to remain
Respected Sir
Your most obedient Servants

Bangalore
26th July 1898

V. C. Arumugam Pillay
V. C. Vijiarungum Pillay

GENERAL DEPARTMENT
3138
NOV 1898
DEWAN'S OFFICE

1898

From
The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To
The Secretary to Government
Genl. & Rev. Department.

Dated 4th
Despatched 10th November
Received
General No. 245/1898-99
No.

Enclosure No. 1.

Repeating, under the circumstances now stated, his recommendation for the grant of a loan of Rs 12000/- to the Jodidars of the Kanchenahalli Jodi village, Chikmagalur Taluk, for redeeming the village from mortgage, &c

Rem
12.11.98

Refer Retd.
lw
24/11/98

Camp Belur
4th November 1898

From

F. E. C. Carr Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To

The Secretary to Government
General and Revenue Depto

Sir,

In reply to your docket No 2919
H 296
dated 11th ultimo, observing with reference to my recommendation for the grant of a loan of Rs 12000/ to the Jodidars of the Kenchenhalli Jodi village, Chikmagalur Taluk, that the maximum loan admissible will be 10 or 12 times the net Beriz (viz: Rs 322-10-3), and calling for a further report proving my proposal on the said basis, I have the honor to submit as follows. -

2 In the case of the several loans hitherto sanctioned by Government, such as Agricultural Banks and Land Improvement Loans, the market value and not the annual rental or assessment, has been invariably considered as the basis for advances

3. In a similar case Rs 30,000/ was ordered by Government to be advanced to certain encumbered Jahagir Inamdars in the Mysore District (Vide

Govt

Sir "I"

G. O. No 317-21 of 6th June 1893), the basis of calculation was the previous 12 years annual income from the Inams and not the Inam Beriz.

4. This case is, in my opinion, decidedly one for Government help, to save the (encumbered) Jodi Inam village from passing into the hands of creditors, under the special concession held out in G. O. No 5549-57 / R 472 dated 17th December 1896, and does not come under the Land Improvements Regulation. Any loan of a sum below Rs 12,000/ would, I submit, but partially relieve the Inamdars from their present liabilities and the property would still be in the hands of their creditors.

5. Further, I beg to add that the Jodi village in question will by itself be a sufficient security for the loan applied for, inasmuch as the 2 creditors have together already invested Rs 10,000/. in it (exclusive of interest accruing to the date of payment). As a rule, speculators or mortgagees do not risk more than half the sum, property is really worth; and this is, (21
I think, probably the case in this in-

stance

stance.

6. Under the above circumstances I would venture to repeat my recommendation that a loan of Rs 12,000/- may be sanctioned and would request that early orders of Government may be passed, as the Inamdars represent that their creditors are dunning them for repayment of their loan, apparently with a view to have the Jodi Inam village sold to them (creditors) for an unduly low price, as will be observed from the petition dated 1st November 1898 (enclosed in original) put in by the Inamdars.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedt Servant,

J. C. Carr

Deputy Commissioner

Kadur District

Mr.

ಇಂದು ಮಾರಾಟ ಮಾಡಿದ ಕ್ರಯ ಪತ್ರ

ಈ ಕ್ರಯ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡವರು

ಕ್ರಯ ಪತ್ರವನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡವರು ಈ

ಕೆಲವು ಸಂದರ್ಭಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಲಗಾರರು ಸಾಲವನ್ನು ಪಡೆಯುವಾಗ ಸಾಲಗಾರನಿಗೆ ಸಾಲವನ್ನು ಕೊಡ

268 of 98 to put up for [illegible]
-2-99 from the register
on 7-2-99

[illegible]
6/4/99
382 of 98 was transferred to Revenue Court
on 23-2-99.

transfred in continuation

13th April 99. Asst Secretary

Name of Petitioner [illegible]

Village [illegible]

Taluk Chickmagalur

District Kadur

Date of Arzi 1-4-99

Date of Receipt 6-4-99

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Two

ABSTRACT

[illegible]

the village for the
to be frustrated, for
in the Chickmagalur
[illegible] this decree
favour and caused
the whole village to be attached,
that this will be clear from
the accompanying notice and copy of
attachment list; that this
village was granted to their
forefathers as Inam which
have been enjoying for
ratums; that the village
owed Rs 2000; that
are afraid that they
lose the village, and
may for any of the follow
steps; and
further that they may be
[illegible] Rs 12000

with 5 000 or 6000 Rs, be
secured in addition to the
village for the said loan of
Rs 12000. and the Godi village
be placed under Govt management
during the time it
is secured to Govt; or

(3) That the village which
is now under attachment
and which goes to a stranger
be purchased by Govt for
a reasonable price and
the original object of its
grant be maintained.

Further pray that as it
passes out of their hands,
early orders may be issued
to save the village from being
sold, as they hear that the records have
been received in this office from the Collector

Enc 1. Notice issued from the
Munsiff's Court to the effect
that the property as detailed
in the schedule (a copy of which
is attached to the letter) is attached
and the claimants to the same
should appear before the Court

with necessary references in support
of their claim on 5-4-99.

Encl: Copy of the Schedule
of Particulars attached.

7/4/99.

MYROSE DRUGS & CHEMICALS (P) LTD.
REGD. No. 1631R
DATE 6-4-99

6.4.99
Myrose & Chem

ಪೋಷಕರು

ಪಿ.ಕೆ.ಪಿ.ಎಸ್ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಚಿಕ್ಕಮಗಳೂರು

1898-99ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನ ಸದಸ್ಯತ್ವ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 494

1898-99ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನ ಷೇರು ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ನಾಲ್ಕು-೪೬೬

ಪಾವತಿ

ಷೇರು ಮೊತ್ತಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂದಾಯಕ್ಕೆ

ಉಳಿತಾಯ ಖಾತೆಗೆ

ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಂದ ಪಡೆದ ಮೊತ್ತ

ಸಂದಾಯ ಮಾಡಿದ ಮೊತ್ತ

ಸಹಿ

ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ

(46)

Draft Proceedings

Read the following:—

1. Petition Regd No. 1310 dated 8th August 1897 from Thimmama Sastri, Son of Venkatarama Sastri, Inamdar of Sarvamanya Rangasamudra village, Pavagada Taluk, praying for the grant of a loan by Govt ~~in order~~ to enable him to pay off the existing debts amounting to Rs. 45,553/5 which were contracted by his father on the Security of the Sarvamanyam village.

2) Petition dated 26th July 1898, Regd No. 248, from V.C. Vijayarangam Pillé and Ammuga Pillé, praying for the grant of a loan of Rs. 10,000 on the mortgage of their Kayemgutta Byata Nayanapura village in the Bangalore Taluk, in order to redeem it from the existing encumbrances.

3) Correspondence ~~on the~~

LR 1097
SPS

"Press copy"
29/4/99
Under Secretary

Press
Please return the Office Copy to the Rev: Krauch
29/4/99

Cutte letter No. 245 dated
4th November 1898 from
the Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District, reporting
upon the application of
Rangamma and Nanjundaya,
Joddars of Kanchenahalli
Jodi village in the Taluk
-nagalur Taluk, for
the grant of a loan of
Rs. 15.000 on the Security of
the Jodi village, in order
to redeem it from the prest-
mortgage & also to improve it

(4) Petition dt 1st April 99.
Regd no. 1631, from Rangamma
& Nanjundaiya on the
Same Subject.

Read again:— Govt
Order No. 5.5.49-57 dt
17th December 1896, directing
the revival of the System of
granting loans to encumbered
Inamdars.

Order thereon:— No. 7835-42 dt 29/4/99
R.Y. 28-97

The Petitioners above
referred to, apply for the
grant of loans with a

view chiefly to liquidate
~~private~~ debts contracted
on the mortgage of these
Inam villages. The appli-
cations are evidently for
government aid under the
system of granting loans
to encumbered Inamdars,
and such applications are
becoming frequent. This
scheme was originally
started with the intention
of affording relief to a
select class of Inamdars,
and not to ~~all~~ any & every Inamdars
irrespective of the nature
of the Inam granted. You
therefore direct that no ap-
plications for loans be
entertained unless they
fulfil the essential first
condition that the Inam,
in respect of which the loan
is asked for, is a grant by
way of a Special reward
to the applicants' ancestors

in recognition of valuable Services rendered to the State

2. The applications under reference, which do not fulfil the above important condition, are ~~summarily~~ rejected.

Print

C 28/4/99.

Secretary
27/4/99

To.
The Dy Commrs of Tumkur
Bangalore, & Kadur Districts
" Dy Commrs of other Dists

READ THE FOLLOWING —

(1) Petition registered No. 1310, dated 8th August 1897, from Thimmanna Sastri, son of Venkataramasastri, inamdar of sarvamanya Rangasamudra village, Pavagada taluk, praying for the grant of a loan by Government to enable him to pay off the existing debts amounting to Rs. 45,553-8-5 which were contracted by his father on the security of the sarvamanyam village.

(2) Petition, dated 26th July 1898, registered No. 248, from V. C. Vijayarangam Pille and Armuga Pille, praying for the grant of a loan of Rs. 10,000 on the mortgage of their kayamgutta Byatarayanapura village in the Bangalore taluk, in order to redeem it from the existing encumbrances.

(3) Correspondence ending with letter No. 245, dated 4th November 1898, from the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur district, reporting upon the application of Ranganna and Nanjundaiya, jodidars of Kanchenahalli jodi village in the Chikmagalur taluk, for the grant of a loan of Rs. 15,000 on the security of the jodi village in order to redeem it from the present mortgage and also to improve it.

(4) Petition, dated 1st April 1899, registered No. 1631, from Ranganna and Nanjundaiya, on the same subject.

READ AGAIN —

Government Order No. 5549-57—Fl. 472, dated 17th December 1896, directing the revival of the system of granting loans to encumbered inamdars.

No. 7855-42—R. F. 28-97, DATED BANGALORE, 29TH APRIL 1899.

ORDER THEREON.—The petitioners, above referred to, apply for the grant of loans with a view chiefly to liquidate debts contracted on the mortgage of their inam villages. The applications are evidently for Government aid under the system of granting loans to encumbered inamdars, and such applications are becoming frequent. This scheme was originally started with the intention of affording relief to a select class of inamdars, and not to any and every inamdar irrespective of the nature of the inam granted. Government therefore direct that no applications for loans be entertained unless they fulfil the essential first condition that the inam, in respect of which the loan is asked for, is a grant by way of a special reward to the applicants' ancestors in recognition of valuable services rendered to the State.

2. The applications under reference, which do not fulfil the above important condition, are rejected.

Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Deputy Commissioners of Tumkur, Bangalore and Kadur Districts.
The Deputy Commissioners of other Districts.

Exd.—H.A.R.

1898-99

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

FINANCIAL

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 29th April 1899

No. 7835-42
R. F. 28-97

Directing that no applications from Inamdars for loans be entertained unless the inam, in respect of which the loan is asked for, is a grant by way of a special reward to the applicants' ancestors in recognition of valuable services rendered to the State.

SBP—6-5-99—200

READ THE FOLLOWING —

(1) Petition registered No. 1310, dated 8th August 1897, from Thimmanna Sastri, son of Venkataramasastri, inamdar of sarvamanya Rangasamudra village, Pavagada taluk, praying for the grant of a loan by Government to enable him to pay off the existing debts amounting to Rs. 45,553-8-5 which were contracted by his father on the security of the sarvamanyam village.

(2) Petition, dated 26th July 1898, registered No. 248, from V. C. Vijayarangam Pille and Armuga Pille, praying for the grant of a loan of Rs. 10,000 on the mortgage of their kayamgutta Byatarayanapura village in the Bangalore taluk, in order to redeem it from the existing encumbrances.

(3) Correspondence ending with letter No. 245, dated 4th November 1898, from the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur district, reporting upon the application of Ranganna and Nanjundaiya, jodidars of Kanchenahalli jodi village in the Chikmagalur taluk, for the grant of a loan of Rs. 15,000 on the security of the jodi village in order to redeem it from the present mortgage and also to improve it.

(4) Petition, dated 1st April 1899, registered No. 1631, from Ranganna and Nanjundaiya, on the same subject.

READ AGAIN —

Government Order No. 5549-57—Fl. 472, dated 17th December 1896, directing the revival of the system of granting loans to encumbered inamdars.

No. 7835-42—R. F. 28-97, DATED BANGALORE, 29TH APRIL 1899.

ORDER THEREON.—The petitioners, above referred to, apply for the grant of loans with a view chiefly to liquidate debts contracted on the mortgage of their inam villages. The applications are evidently for Government aid under the system of granting loans to encumbered inamdars, and such applications are becoming frequent. This scheme was originally started with the intention of affording relief to a select class of inamdars, and not to any and every inamdar irrespective of the nature of the inam granted. Government therefore direct that no applications for loans be entertained unless they fulfil the essential first condition that the inam, in respect of which the loan is asked for, is a grant by way of a special reward to the applicants' ancestors in recognition of valuable services rendered to the State.

2. The applications under reference, which do not fulfil the above important condition, are rejected.

C. SREENIVASIENGAR,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts

To—The Deputy Commissioners of Tumkur, Bangalore and Kadur Districts.
The Deputy Commissioners of other Districts.

Exd.—H.A.E.

16

1898-99

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

FINANCIAL

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 29th April 1899

No. 7835-42
R. F. 28-97

Directing that no applications from Inamdars for loans be entertained unless the inam, in respect of which the loan is asked for, is a grant by way of a special reward to the applicants' ancestors in recognition of valuable services rendered to the State.

SBP—6-5-99—200